Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning for Assessing False-Data Injection Attacks on Transportation Networks

Taha Eghtesad *****, Sirui Li*****, Yevgeniy Vorobeychik **+**, **Aron Laszka***

* Pennsylvania State University

- Massachusetts Institute of Technology
- + Washington University In St. Louis



23rd International Conference On Autonomous Agents And Multi-Agent Systems (AAMAS) Auckland, NZ, May 6-10, 2024

WHY TRANSPORTATION SERVICES ARE IMPORTANT?

- Provide access to:
 - Education
 - Healthcare
 - Emergency services
- Contribute to:
 - Economic growth
 - Logistic services
 - Delivery of essential goods

- Disruptions can lead to:
 - Financial losses
 - Physical damage
 - Bodily harm





VULNERABILITY OF TRANSPORTATION NETWORKS



- SMS Disinformation
- Traffic Sign Manipulation
- Traffic Signal Manipulation
- **False Data Injection** in Navigation Applications



TRANSPORTATION NETWORK MODEL

- A directed graph $G = \langle V, E \rangle$ defines the transportation network's roads and intersections
- Congestion Model
 - Each road has a given free-flow travel time
 - The more vehicles on a given road, the higher the actual travel time
- At each intersection, drivers take the shortest path to their destination based on a navigation application



FALSE DATA INJECTION (THREAT) MODEL



- The attacker has a budget to perturb perceived travel times
- The attacker perturbs perceived travel times at each step
- The drivers take a longer path due to perceived congestion

Strong threat model:
The attacker has full observation

The attacker has full observation of the network

- Vehicle locations
- Vehicle destinations



Sioux Falls, SD

PROBLEM FORMULATION

- Assessing the extent of the damage is the prerequisite for defense
 - An attack oracle can be used to **generate worst-case** attacks for <u>detection</u> and <u>mitigation</u> schemes
- False data injection attacks may happen over a time horizon
- Uncertainty of the environment
- The attacker can manipulate observed congestion in a navigation application
 - Restricted to a fixed budget
 - Able to manipulate any road link
 - Aiming to cause worst-case impact
- Leading to: Markov Decision Process (MDP) formulation
 - Find a policy, mapping from **network state** to **perturbations**, that maximize **total travel time**



 $S \mapsto$ state space $A \mapsto$ action space $R(s, a) \mapsto$ rewarding rule $T(s, a) \mapsto$ transition rule



REWARD, ACTION, AND STATE SPACE

- Objective
 - Goal: maximize total travel time
 - Reward: r^t = number of vehicles in traffic

Action Agent Environment Observation Reward Sioux Falls, SD

- Action Space
 - Perturb observed edge travel times restricted to a budget
 - Action space: $|a^t|_1 \leq B$ and $a_e^t \geq 0$
- State Space
 - Vehicle locations and destinations



DEEP REINFORCEMENT LEARNING AS ATTACK ORACLE



optimize $\pi(s^t) \mapsto a^t$ max $\mathbb{E}[\sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{\tau} \cdot r^{t+\tau} | \pi]$

- Critic: $Q(s^t, a^t) \leftarrow r^t + \max_{a'} Q(s^{t+1}, a')$
 - Updated by gradient descent, reducing Mean Squared Bellman Error
- Actor: $\pi(s^t) \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_{a'} Q(s^{t+1}, a')$
 - Updated with gradient ascent, increasing Q



FEATURE EXTRACTION FROM COMBINATORIAL STATE



- 1. Number of vehicles that are at an intersection with an unperturbed shortest path to the destination that passes through *e*
- 2. Number of vehicles that are on an edge but will take *e* as the shortest path
- 3. Number of vehicles that are at an intersection that will immediately take *e* as their shortest path without perturbation
- 4. Number of vehicles currently on *e*
- 5. Sum of remaining travel times of vehicles currently on edge *e*
- State represented as $|E| \times 5$ vector



CHALLENGES FOR DEEP REINFORCEMENT LEARNING

- The attacker could output perturbations for hundreds of city roads
- General-purpose reinforcement learning algorithms (e.g., DDPG) are infeasible even for a small city
 - 24 nodes and 76 edges in Sioux Falls
 - Enormous action/observation space
- It requires millions of samples collected from the environment
- We need a <u>robust</u> and <u>feasible</u> attack oracle



HIERARCHICAL MULTI-AGENT REINFORCEMENT LEARNING

The idea:

- We can **divide** the network into smaller components
- **Low-leve**l RL agents are assigned to each component
- A high-level RL agent coordinates the low-level agents
- Why a high-level coordinator?
 - The total perturbations are restricted by a budget
 - Low-level agents compete over the budget
- The high-level agent allocates the perturbation budget to the component agents
- The low-level agents distribute allocated perturbation budgets to road links





HIERARCHICAL APPROACH



12

NETWORK DECOMPOSITION

Decompose the network based on **K-means clustering** by edge distance (without congestion)



13

DISTRIBUTED LEARNING



14

PennState

3

EXPERIMENTAL SETUP



- **Proportional** (*High Level*): Allocates budget to each component based on proportion of vehicles in the component
- **Greedy Heuristic** (Low Level): Perturbs edges by proportion of vehicles that pass through that edge
- Random actions
- DDPG without decomposition
- Hyperparameter search
 - Grid search

EVALUATIONS



Budget B = 5









CONCLUSION



- We discussed the importance of **resiliency** of transportation networks
- We discussed how transportation networks are **vulnerable** to various attacks.
- We introduced a model of false-data attacks against navigation in transportation networks
- We proposed a computational method based on multi-agent reinforcement learning to assess against worst-case attacks
- We demonstrated the **effectiveness** of our framework on the Sioux Falls, SD benchmark network
- We showed that a **worst-case attack can significantly increase total travel time**

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

Dr. Aron Laszka alaszka@psu.edu